

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Family Name | Gavan |
| Given Name | Keith |
| Person ID | 1286146 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Gavan |
| Given Name | Keith |
| Person ID | 1286146 |
| Title | JPA 35: North of Mosley Common |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | <p>As a local resident, I am emailing to outline my objection to site allocation 35 "North of Mosley Common" within the Places for Everyone proposals. It proposes 1100 houses; this is despite 100s of objections to the original proposal (GMSF).</p> <p>I strongly believe that the development is unsuitable for our area for various reasons. Primarily that the site swallows almost all of our local green spaces. Every day this is used and enjoyed by local residents and families.</p> <p>With any development increased traffic is always an issue. The area has already seen 100s of new houses built in recent years; yet there have been NO improvements to the road infrastructure or safety. An extra 1100 houses would bring an estimated 1400 cars to the local area! This would be unsafe if again no improvements are made and standstill traffic would be even more of an issue than it already is. This too posing a great risk to local air quality and the environment. Ironically, totally contradicting GM's Clean Air Plan.</p> <p>Disappointingly, there is little detail outlined in the proposal regarding new schools, health provisions, and recreation provision. These facilities are so important to local areas and residents. In truth, it's hard to see at the moment how these plans will benefit the local area at all</p> |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters | <p>There is little that can be done to replace the green spaces except do not carry out this proposal.</p> <p>There is little room to accommodate improvements in the infrastructure (Roads). Extra school places required . Reduce the amount of traffic to meet GM's clean air plan, by not building further housing and improving public transportation systems. This and many other factors make this proposal just not viable.</p> |

**you have identified
above.**